This article was downloaded by:

On: 29 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713618290

TRIS(N-/S-ALKYL AMINOCYCLOPENTENE-1-CARBODITHIATO)GALLIUM(III): SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION

Shailendra K. Singh^a; Yashpal Singh^a; Audhesh K. Rai^a; Ram C. Mehrotra^a Chemical Laboratories, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

To cite this Article Singh, Shailendra K., Singh, Yashpal, Rai, Audhesh K. and Mehrotra, Ram C.(1992) 'TRIS(N-/S-ALKYL AMINOCYCLOPENTENE-1-CARBODITHIATO)GALLIUM(III): SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION', Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 68:1,211-218

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10426509208038386 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426509208038386

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

TRIS(N-/S-ALKYL AMINOCYCLOPENTENE-1-CARBODITHIATO)GALLIUM(III): SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION

SHAILENDRA K. SINGH, YASHPAL SINGH, AUDHESH K. RAI and RAM C. MEHROTRA*

Chemical Laboratories, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302004, India

(Received April 9, 1991; in final form November 1, 1991)

Reactions of gallium isopropoxide with 2N-alkyl and S-alkyl-aminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acids,

$$R(H) NC = \frac{(CH_2)_3}{CC(s)} CC(s) SH (R = H, Me, Et, Bu^n) and H_2 NC = \frac{(CH_2)_3}{CC(s)} CC(s) SR^n$$

 $(R' = Me, CH_2NEt_2)$, in 1:3 molar ratio in refluxing benzene lead to the formation of tris-derivatives,

$$Ga[S(S)CC \xrightarrow{-(CH_2)_3} CN(H)R]_3$$
 and $Ga[N(H)C \xrightarrow{-(CH_2)_3} CC(S)SR]_3$

These compounds have been characterized by elemental analyses and molecular weight measurements and their probable structures have been proposed by IR and NMR (1H and 13C) spectral studies. In these complexes two different proposed bonding modes around the central gallium atom are discussed.

Key words: Gallium isopropoxide; 2N-alkyl aminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acid; spectral studies; four-membered chelate ring; six-membered chelate ring.

INTRODUCTION

Although the chemistry of gallium complexes with either sulfur or nitrogen donor ligands has been explored, 1-4 reports on complexes with ligands having both donor atoms are rather scanty.5,6

In continuation of our studies on comparative ligating capabilities of 2-aminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acid (ACDA) and its N-/S-alkyl derivatives with main group elements, (B⁷, In⁸, As⁹, Sb⁹, Ph₂Sb¹⁰ and Bi¹¹) in +3 oxidation state, we describe here investigations on synthetic and structural aspects of gallium(III) complexes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of various tautomeric forms reported^{12,13} for 2-aminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acid (ACDA), the following tautomer(A) appears to predominate in solution⁸:

The reactions of gallium isopropoxide with the above ligands in 1:3 molar ratio in refluxing benzene solution, lead to the formation of tris-complexes of the type (I) and (II):

$$Ga(OPr^{i})_{3} + 3R(H)NC \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } CC(S)SH \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } Ga[S(S)CC \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } CN(H)R]_{3} + 3Pr^{i}OH$$

$$where R = H, Me, Et and n-Bu$$

$$Ga(OPr^{i})_{3} + 3H_{2}NC \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } CC(S)SR' \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } Ga[N(H)C \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } CC(S)SR']_{3} + 3Pr^{i}OH$$

$$where R' = Me and CH_{2}NEt_{2}$$

The elemental analyses of these complexes correspond to tris-derivatives of gal-

lium within experimental error ($\pm 0.5\%$). These yellow coloured solids having solubility in common organic solvents are monomeric in nature and undergo decomposition above 20°C, even under reduced pressure.

(I) Structural elucidation of tris-2N-alkylaminocyclopentene-1-carbodithiolato-SS gallium (III); Ga [S(S)CC - (CH₂) 3 - CN(H)R]₃.

IR SPECTRA

A comparison of IR spectra of these complexes with the spectra of corresponding ligands shows the absence of ν SH band (observed at \sim 2550 cm⁻¹ in the spectra

		7	ΓABLE Ι				
IR data of gallium (III) complexes of 2-alkylaminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acids and their esters							
		a (n. 100)	C=(P+ACDA)	Co(ACDEL)	2		

Ga(MeACDA)3	Ga(EtACDA) ₃	Ga(BuACDA)3	Ga(ACDE')3	Assignment
3350 b	3360 b	3360 b	3200 ъ	v nh ₂ /nhr
1605 s	1600 s	1605 s	1590 s	\vee NH + C = C
1490 s	1480 s	149€ s	1480 s	$v_{CH_2} + c = c$
1360 s	1360 s	1320 s	1270 s	νc = N + C = S
1270 s	1280 s	1270 s	1160 s	ν C = S + C = N
930 m	950 m	940 m	980 m	ν Asym CSS
610 b	605	610	615	ນ Sym CSS
340	350	350	335	ν Ga - S
••	••	••	5 50	yGa - N
	3350 b 1605 s 1490 s 1360 s 1270 s 930 m 610 b	3350 b 3360 b 1605 s 1600 s 1490 s 1480 s 1360 s 1360 s 1270 s 1280 s 930 m 950 m 610 b 605 340 350	3350 b 3360 b 3360 b 1605 s 1600 s 1605 s 1490 s 1480 s 1496 s 1360 s 1360 s 1320 s 1270 s 1280 s 1270 s 930 m 950 m 940 m 610 b 605 610 340 350 350	3350 b 3360 b 3360 b 3200 b 1605 s 1600 s 1605 s 1590 s 1490 s 1480 s 1496 s 1480 s 1360 s 1360 s 1320 s 1270 s 1270 s 1280 s 1270 s 1160 s 930 m 950 m 940 m 980 m 610 b 605 610 615 340 350 350 335

of ligands^{9,13}) indicating deprotonation of —SH and formation of Ga—S bond. This has been supported by the appearance of new band at 355–350 cm⁻¹ for ν Ga—S (Table I). Further, the appearance of a single band in the region 950 \pm 30 cm⁻¹ assigned to ν asy CSS, suggests the involvement and equivalent nature of both the sulfur atoms of dithiocarboxylate group. ^{14,15} However, the unaltered positions of bands due to NH₂ and NHR groups indicate the non-involvement of the amino group in bonding.

TABLE II

1H NMR data of gallium (III) complexes of 2-alkylaminocyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acid and their esters

51.No	• Compound	Solvent	Chemical Shifts in (&) ppm
1.	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Polysol	1.5-1.9, q , 2H-CH ₂₍₄₎ ; 2.5-2.8, m , 4H-CH _{2(3 & 5)} ; 8.7, bs , 1H-NH (free); 8.9, bs , 1H-NH
2.	$G_{a}[s(s)cc=\frac{5}{CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{3}}{6,1}]_{3}$ $G_{a}[MeACDA]_{3}$	Polysol	1.81, q , 2H-CH ₂₍₄₎ ; 2.6-2.8, m , 2H-CH ₂₍₃₎ ; 2.9-3.3, m , 2H-CH ₂₍₅₎ ; 3.4, s , 3H-NCH ₃₍₇₎ ; 9.3, bs , 1H-NH
3.	Ga[S(S)CC=CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CN(H)CH ₂ CH ₃]; Ga(EtACDA) ₃	3 CDC1 ₃	1.8, q, 2H-CH ₂₍₄₎ ; 2.6-2.8, m, 4H-CH _{2(3 & 5)} 2.8-3.2, q; 2H-CH ₂₍₇₎ ; 1.21, t, 3H-CH ₃₍₈₎ ; 9.2, bs, 1H-NH

TABLE II (Continued)

4.
$$Ga[S(S)CC = \frac{5}{CH_2CH_2CH_2} = \frac{3}{CN(H)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3}]_3$$
 CDCl₃ 1.5-2.1, m, 6H-CH₂(4,8 & 9); 2.6-2.9, m, 4H-CH₂(3 & 5); 3.0-3.5, q, 2H-CH₂(7); 0.93, t, 3H-CH₃(10); 9.5, bs, 1H-NH

5. $Ga[N(H)C = \frac{3}{CH_2CH_2CH_2} = \frac{4}{CC(S)SCH_3}]_3$ Polysol 1.6-2.0, q, 2H-CH₂(4); 2.5-2.9, m, 4H-CH₂(3 & 5); 3.0-3.5, q, 2H-CH₂(4); 2.5-2.9, m, 4H-CH₂(3 & 5); 3.0-3.5, q, 2H-CH₂(4); 3.5-2.9, m, 4H-CH₂(3 & 5); 3.0-3.5, q, 2H-CH₂(4); 3.0-3.5, q, 2H-

¹H NMR SPECTRA

The absence of the signal for —SH proton in the spectra of complexes (Table II) further supports its deprotonation and the formation of Ga—S bond.

In the spectra of free ligands, the appearance of the signal for the amino proton in the NHR group in the downfield region ($\delta 11.2-12.0$ ppm, where R=Me, Et and Bu) has been attributed to hydrogen bonding (Figure A). The upfield shifting of this signal in the complexes to $\delta 8.7-9.5$ ppm may, therefore, be ascribed to the breaking of hydrogen bond (Figure B):

Fig. B (where R = Me, Et and Bu)

The appearance of two signals due to NH_2 protons in the ligand (ACDA) at $\delta 11.2$ and $\delta .2$ ppm has been ascribed to one of the protons being hydrogen bonded. Appearance of two close (in place of one) proton signals in $Ga(ACDA)_3$ at $\delta 8.9$ and $\delta .7$ ppm indicates weak interaction between one of these protons with the sulfur atom of dithiocarboxylate groups.

¹³C NMR SPECTRA

A comparison of ¹³C NMR spectra of the complexes with the parent N-alkyl ligands reveals that the signals for alkyl and cyclopentene ring carbons do not show any significant shift in their positions (Table III). Only the signal due to C(6) atom shows a downfield shift of 4–8 ppm indicating its deshielding as a result of deprotonation of —SH group and coordination through free sulfur atom of dithiocarboxylate group and weakening of inherent hydrogen bonding in the parent ligand (Figure A).

These results are in contrast to our earlier reports for analogous boron derivatives⁷ in which a six-membered chelate ring involving amino group and sulfur atom has been proposed. However, it resembles closely the structural features observed in the case of analogous indium⁸, antimony⁹, arsenic⁹ and bismuth¹¹ complexes.

(II) Structural elucidation of tris-S-alkylaminocyclopentene-1-carbodithiotato-N,S gallium (III): Ga[N(H)C-(CH₂)3-CC(5)SR)3

S1.N	Compound	Solvent	Chemical Shift Values (ど) in ppm
1.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Folysol	C ₍₁₎ , 116.9 ; C ₍₂₎ , 168.6 ; C ₍₃₎ , 39.4 ; C ₍₄₎ , 18.9 ; C ₍₅₎ , 30.6 ; C ₍₆₎ , 201.2
	Ga[s(s)cc	Polysol	C ₍₁₎ , 117.1; C ₍₂₎ , 170.7; C ₍₃₎ , 34.7; C ₍₄₎ , 18.9; C ₍₅₎ , 33.1; C ₍₆₎ , 197.2
3.	Ga[S(S)CC	CEC13	C ₍₁₎ , 118.1 ; C ₍₂₎ , 171.2 ; C ₍₃₎ , 33.4 ; C ₍₄₎ , 18.9 ; C ₍₅₎ , 33.2 ; C ₍₆₎ , 196.9 ; C ₍₇₎ , 39.8 ; C ₍₈₎ , 15.4
4.	Ga[S(S)CCCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CN(H)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃] 3 Ga(BuACDA) 3	CDC1 ₃	C ₍₁₎ , 118.2 ; C ₍₂₎ , 171.1 ; C ₍₃₎ , 33.0 ; C ₍₄₎ , 20.1 ; C ₍₅₎ , 32.5 ; C ₍₆₎ , 200.0 ; C ₍₇₎ , 46.1 ; C ₍₈₎ , 31.6 ; C ₍₉₎ , 22.1 ; C ₍₁₀₎ , 13.7
5.	Ga[N(H)C — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	CDC13	C ₍₁₎ , 117.8; C ₍₂₎ , 166.2; C ₍₂₎ , 36.2; C ₍₄₎ , 20.3; C ₍₅₎ , 35.8; C ₍₆₎ , 207.8; C _(α) , 17.2

IR SPECTRA

In the IR spectra of the above class of complexes, a lowering of 50 cm⁻¹ in the position of NH₂ group (observed at 3250 cm⁻¹ in parent ligands) indicates the involvement of amino group in bonding (Table I). The presence of a splitted band for ν asy CSS in the range 940–970 cm⁻¹ reveals the non-equivalent nature of the two sulfur atoms of dithiocarboxylate group and supports the participation of one sulfur atom in complex formation.^{14,15} The positions of other bands are found to be similar to those observed for N-alkyl derivatives.

¹H NMR SPECTRA

In the ¹H NMR spectrum of the complex, $GA(ACDE')_3$, only one signal for NH proton is observed at $\delta 6.1$ ppm (Table II) whereas in the parent ligand, ⁸ ACDE', two signals are being observed at $\delta 11.2$ and $\delta .1$ ppm indicating the removal of one of the amino protons during complexation. A downfield shift in SCH₃ signal further supports the participation of C=S in bonding.

¹³C NMR SPECTRA

The signals for the carbon atoms C_2 , C_6 and C_7 (Table III) in $Ga(ACDE')_3$ type complexes show a downfield shift in comparison to the parent ligands and are observed at $\delta 166.2$ (C_2), 207.8 (C_6) and 17.2 (C_α) ppm, respectively. This downfield shift supports the presence of nitrogen and sulfur atoms along with gallium in a six-membered chelate ring (Figure C).

The mode of bonding in these complexes appears to be similar to that observed in the analogous complexes of boron⁷ and indium⁸, but it differs markedly with the complexes of group VA elements like Sb(III)⁹, Ph₂Sb¹⁰ and Bi¹¹ in which adducts are formed in preference to substituted complexes.

(where R' = Me and -CH2NEt2)

EXPERIMENTAL

Stringent precautions were taken to exclude moisture during the course of synthesis of the extremely hydrolysable nature of the starting material, gallium isopropoxide. All the chemicals used were of reagent grade. Gallium isopropoxide¹⁶ and 2-alkylamino-cyclopentene-1-carbodithioic acids and their esters^{13,17} have been synthesized by the reported methods.

Since a similar method has been adopted in the synthesis of gallium complexes, the synthetic procedure of only one compound has been described for brevity.

Synthesis of tris-(2-aminocyclopentene-1-carbodithiolato-S,S) Gallium (III). A mixture of gallium isopropoxide (0.89 g, 3.61 mmole) and the ligand ACDA (1.72 g, 10.79 mmole) in benzene (\sim 60 ml) solution was refluxed under a fractionating column. The liberated isopropanol was fractionated out as azeotrope and the progress of the reaction was monitored by estimating the isopropanol in the azeotrope. After the completion of the reaction, the volatile constituents were removed under reduced pressure and the resultant yellow coloured solid was recrystallized from hot chloroform solution (yield \sim 90%). The compound on analysis was found to have Ga, 12.7; N, 2.5; S, 11.6%. Calcd. for Ga(S₂NC₆H₈)₃: Ga, 12.8; N, 2.6; S, 11.8%. Mol. wt. found 560.5, Calcd. 543.7.

Using the above procedure the compounds having the following molecular formulae have been synthesized and characterized:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ga}\left[s(s)\text{CC}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{CN}(H)(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3\right]_3, & \text{Ga}\left[s(s)\text{CC}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{C}(H)\text{N}\right]_3, \\ & \text{Ga}\left[N(H)\text{C}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{CC}(s)\text{SCH}_3\right]_3, & \text{Ga}\left[s(s)\text{CC}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{CN}(H)\text{CH}_3\right]_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$& \text{Ga}\left[N(H)\text{C}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{CC}(s)\text{SCH}_2\text{NEt}_2\right]_3 & \text{and} & \text{Ga}\left[s(s)\text{CC}\frac{(\text{CH}_2)_3}{3}\text{CN}(H)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\right]_3 \end{aligned}$$

Analytical methods and physical measurements. The isopropanol in the azeotrope was estimated by the oxidimetric method, ¹⁸ gallium as gallium oxinate, ¹⁹ nitrogen by the Kjeldahl's method, ¹⁹ and sulfur gravimetrically. ¹⁹

Molecular weight measurements have been carried out on Knauer Vapour Pressure Osmometer at 45°C in chloroform solution. IR spectra have been recorded on Perkin Elmer 577 Spectrophotometer as CsI pellets or nujol mulls.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the ligands have been recorded on a Bruker 270 MHz NMR Spectrometer and those of gallium complexes on Jeol FX 90Q NMR Spectrometer, in CDCl₃ or Polysol solution using TMS as an internal standard.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of us (SKS) is grateful to the State Government of Rajasthan for financial support under Special Assistance Programme. Authors are also thankful to Mr. Suman K. Gupta for recording NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1. I. A. Sheka, I. S. Chaus and T. T. Mityrava, The Chemistry of Gallium, Elsevier, New York (1970).
- A. T. Plibienka, N. V. Melnikovo and Yu. A. Bankovsku, Ukr. Khim. Zh., 46, 311 (1980); C. A., 94, 111785 (1981).
- 3. D. Coucouvanis, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 26, 301 (1979).
- 4. L. Que, Jr. and L. H. Pignobt, Inorg. Chem., 13, 351 (1974).
- 5. K. Wieghardt, M. K. Boymann, N. Bernhard and J. Weiss, Inorg. Chem., 25, 1654 (1986).
- K. Dymock, G. J. Palenik, J. Slezak, C. L. Raston and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 28 (1976).

- 7. S. K. Singh, Y. P. Singh, A. K. Rai and R. C. Mehrotra, Main Group Metal Chem., 13, 119 (1990).

- 8. S. K. Singh, Y. P. Singh, A. K. Rai and R. C. Mehrotra, *Polyhedron*, **8**, 633 (1989).
 9. S. K. Singh, Y. P. Singh, A. K. Rai and R. C. Mehrotra, *Indian J. Chem.*, **28A**, 585 (1989).
 10. S. K. Singh, Y. P. Singh, A. K. Rai and R. C. Mehrotra, *Indian J. Chem.*, **29A**, 876 (1990).
 11. S. K. Singh, Y. P. Singh, A. K. Rai and R. C. Mehrotra, *Indian J. Chem.*, **28A**, 771 (1989).
- 12. K. Nag and D. S. Joardar, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 14, 133 (1975).
- 13. B. Bordas, P. Sohar, G. Matolesy and P. Berencsi, J. Org. Chem., 37, 1727 (1972).
- 14. F. Bonanti, S. Cenini and R. Ugo, J. Organomet. Chem., 9, 395 (1967).
- 15. M. Akabar Ali, S. E. Livingstone and D. J. Phillips, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 5, 119 (1971). 16. R. C. Mehrotra and R. K. Mehrotra, *Current Sci.*, 33, 241 (1964).
- 17. T. Takeshima, M. Yokoyama, T. Imamato, M. Akano and H. Asaba, J. Org. Chem., 34, 730
- 18. D. C. Bradley, F. M. A. Halim and W. Wardlaw, J. Chem. Soc., 3450 (1950).
- 19. A. I. Vogel, A Text-Book of Quantitative Inorganic Chemistry, Longman, London, 1978.